#### 5.0 OTHER REQUIRED CEQA SECTIONS

1

3

8

### 5.1 Introduction to Additional CEQA Requirements Discussed in this Section

- 4 The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires evaluations of potentially
- 5 significant environmental impacts, irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources,
- 6 and project related growth-inducing impacts. The following sections evaluate the
- 7 proposed Project in light of these requirements.

# 5.2 Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project that Cannot be Mitigated to Less Than Significant

- 10 Section 15126.2(b) of the State CEQA Guidelines requires that an Environmental
- 11 Impact Report (EIR) describe any significant impacts that cannot be avoided, even with
- 12 the implementation of feasible mitigation measures. Effects on environmental
- 13 resources were evaluated to determine any significant unavoidable impacts. Approval
- of the Project would be subject to a Statement of Overriding Considerations under the
- 15 CEQA due to the significant unavoidable impacts that would remain after mitigation is
- 16 applied.
- 17 Almost all the significant unavoidable impacts that cannot be mitigated (Class I) are the
- result of an accidental release of crude oil. The proposed Project would allow continued
- 19 barging of crude oil from the Ellwood Marine Terminal (EMT) up to the permitted limits.
- 20 The Project would increase loading operations, including the hours per year that the
- 21 loading pumps are operating, and that the barge Jovalan is operating offshore. This
- increase in loading operations would increase the frequency of spills to the environment
- 23 over the current operations. As discussed in detail in Section 4.0, Environmental
- Analysis, an accidental release of crude oil would result in significant impacts to marine
- 25 and onshore water quality; marine and onshore biological resources, including
- 26 commercial and recreational fishing; land use and recreation; and visual resources. A
- 27 number of mitigation measures were identified that would reduce the frequency and
- 28 consequences of spills; however, the risk of spills to the environment would still
- 29 increase over current operations and could not be completely reduced to below a level
- 30 of significance.
- In addition, implementation of the Project would mean that the number of trips the barge
- 32 Jovalan makes to the EMT would increase from approximately two per month to a little
- 33 more than seven per month (no more than 88 times per year). The barge is currently
- 34 visible from the beach and bluffs approximately every 15 days. Under the proposed

- 1 Project, the barge would be visible approximately every four days. The greatly
- 2 increased presence of the barge in a highly sensitive viewshed would be considered a
- 3 significant impact.

# 4 5.3 Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project that would be Irreversible if the Proposed Project is Implemented

- 6 Section 15126.2(c) of the State CEQA Guidelines states that significant irreversible
- 7 environmental changes that would be involved with a proposed project may include the
- 8 following:
- Uses of non-renewable resources during the initial and continued phases of the
  project, which would be irreversible because a large commitment of such
  resources makes removal or non-use thereafter unlikely;
- Primary impacts and, particularly, secondary impacts which commit future
  generations to similar uses; and
- Irreversible damage, which may result from environmental accidents associated with the project.
- 16 The purpose of the proposed Project is to transport crude oil that is produced from the
- 17 South Ellwood Field. Thus, the Project, by definition, involves development of and
- 18 production from non-renewable resources. Implementation of the proposed Project
- 19 would also involve the consumption of some non-renewable and locally limited natural
- 20 resources, i.e., fossil fuels associated with crude oil transport. However, the main goal
- 21 of the proposed Project is to accommodate the development of non-renewable oil
- 22 resources through existing infrastructure. Therefore, the demand of the proposed
- 23 Project for non-renewable resources is not considered significant.
- 24 The proposed Project is the renewal of State Lease PRC 3904.1 for 10 years to allow
- continuation of existing oil transportation activities using existing infrastructure. No new
- 26 facilities or modifications to existing facilities are proposed. As such, no new impacts
- 27 associated with the Project commit future generations to similar uses; the facilities and
- 28 uses already exist.
- 29 The proposed Project could result in oil spills that have the potential to create
- 30 irreversible impacts to environmental resources. Potential impacts can be reduced
- 31 through use of adequate design and operating procedures and effective emergency

- 1 response plans specifying staffing and equipment needs. However, the potential
- 2 remains for significant impacts as a result of an oil spill associated with the proposed
- 3 Project.

### 4 5.4 Growth-Inducing Impacts of the Proposed Project

- 5 Section 15126.2(d) of the State CEQA Guidelines states that growth-inducing impacts
- 6 of the proposed Project must be discussed in the EIR. In general terms, a project may
- 7 induce spatial, economic, or population growth in a geographic area if it meets any one
- 8 of the four criteria identified below:
- Removal of an impediment to growth, e.g., establishment of an essential public
  service or the provisions of new access to an area;
- Economic expansion or growth, e.g., changes in revenue base or employment expansion;
- Establishment of a precedent-setting action, e.g., an innovation, a change in zoning, or general plan amendment approval; or
- Development or encroachment in an isolated area or one adjacent to open space
  (being different from an "infill" type of project).
- 17 Should a project meet any one of the criteria listed above, it can be considered growth
- inducing. The impacts of the proposed Project are evaluated below with regard to these
- 19 four growth-inducing criteria.
- 20 The proposed Project involves renewal of a State lease (Lease PRC 3904.1). There
- 21 would be no construction of new facilities or modifications to existing facilities. The
- 22 Project would increase the volume of oil being transported from the project facilities
- 23 from what is occurring under current baseline conditions, however, the increased
- volumes would not exceed the volumes permitted for the existing facilities.
- 25 The proposed Project would not remove an impediment to growth or result in the
- 26 establishment of an essential public service, and it would not provide new access to an
- 27 area previously inaccessible.
- 28 The Project would not result in increased employment in the area. No increase in
- 29 personnel is proposed as part of the Project. The operational activities would result in
- 30 an increase in the revenue base for the State of California and the County of Santa

- 1 Barbara via oil and gas royalties sharing. However, economic growth associated with
- 2 the Project is not considered to be significant.
- 3 The Project would not establish a precedent-setting action such as a change in zoning
- 4 or an innovation. Nor would the Project develop or encroach in an isolated area or one
- 5 adjacent to open space. The EMT was constructed in 1929 and is a legal non-
- 6 conforming use. Development of open space is considered growth inducing when it
- 7 encroaches upon urban-rural interfaces or in isolated localities. All surface project
- 8 activities would be limited to the existing developed facilities.
- 9 Accordingly, the Project is not considered to be growth inducing.